

## The Effects of Evaluation in Distance Learning: An Insight Review

**Naomi Kutto & Muchimuti Erastus\***  
**University of Eldoret, Kenya**

### Abstract

*Distance learning has become an integral part of modern education, especially with the advancements in technology distance learning is a growing trend around the world, one which will only increase over the next few years, especially in the university and lifelong learning context. In distance learning education, access to digital information resources is crucial. Technology played and continues to play an essential role to deliver education to the students outside of school. Commendably, most countries are able to deploy remote learning technologies using a combination of TV, Radio, Online and Mobile Platforms. Technology is a powerful tool that can support and transform education in many ways, from making it easier for teachers to create instructional materials to enabling new ways for people to learn and work together. Evaluation in distance learning refers to the systematic process of assessing students' knowledge, skills, and abilities through various methods such as quizzes, exams, assignments, and projects. It involves gathering evidence of learning, analyzing student performance, and providing feedback to support continuous improvement. Evaluation constitutes part of an ongoing cycle of program planning, implementation, and improvement. This paper explores the effects of evaluation in distance learning, focusing on its influence on learning outcomes and student engagement. Behaviorism, connectivism and constructivism theories in relation to evaluation were considered in this paper. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, this paper examines various evaluation methods, their impact on student motivation, engagement, and performance, and explores strategies to enhance the effectiveness of evaluation in distance learning environments. The paper also highlighted the various challenges posed by distance learning and how to mitigate them. Key findings include the importance of aligning assessments with learning objectives, providing timely and constructive feedback, leveraging technology and pedagogical approaches to enhance assessment practices, and addressing equity and inclusivity in assessment design by understanding the dynamics of evaluation in distance learning, educators can optimize their teaching strategies and create more engaging and effective learning experiences for students.*

**Keywords:** *Distance learning, evaluation, online instruction, technology*

### Introduction

Distance learning has rapidly grown in popularity over the past few decades, driven by advancements in technology and the increasing demand for flexible learning options. Distance learning allows students to access educational materials and interact with instructors remotely, breaking down geographical barriers and providing opportunities for lifelong learning (Nyerere, 2016).

Allen and Seaman (2017) posit that the roots of distance learning can be traced back to the 19<sup>th</sup> century, with the advent of correspondence courses, which allowed students to receive educational materials through mail and complete assignments remotely. However, it wasn't until the proliferation of the internet in the late 20<sup>th</sup> and early 21<sup>st</sup> centuries that distance

learning truly flourished, enabling interactive online platforms, multimedia resources, and real-time communication between students and instructors. (Allen & Seaman, 2017).

Tiony et al., (2016) argued that distance learning encompasses various formats, including fully online courses, hybrid models blending online and in-person instruction, and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), which provide open access to educational resources to a large number of learners worldwide. These formats cater to diverse educational needs, ranging from basic levels of education to higher education, professional development, and lifelong learning.

Mabeya, (2020) notes that the COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated the adoption of distance learning as educational institutions worldwide were forced to pivot to remote instruction to ensure continuity of learning while adhering to social distancing measures. This rapid shift highlighted both the benefits and challenges of distance learning, including issues related to digital equity, technological infrastructure, and the need for effective pedagogical strategies to engage students in virtual environments.

Research in the field of distance learning has explored various aspects, including the effectiveness of online instruction, learner satisfaction, retention rates, and the role of technology in enhancing learning outcomes. Studies have also examined factors influencing the success of distance learning initiatives, such as instructor presence, course design, interaction among peers, and learner motivation (Means, et al., 2009).

As distance learning continues to evolve, it is essential for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders to address the emerging opportunities and challenges associated with this mode of education and training, ensuring equitable access to quality learning experiences for all learners. (Simonson et al., 2019; Tiony et al., 2016).

### **Concept of Evaluation**

Evaluation in distance learning refers to the systematic process of assessing students' knowledge, skills, and abilities through various methods such as quizzes, exams, assignments, and projects. It involves gathering evidence of learning, analyzing student performance, and providing feedback to support continuous improvement. (Anderson, 2018). Evaluation constitutes part of an ongoing cycle of program planning, implementation, and improvement (Patton, 1987). Evaluation should, ideally, be valid, reliable, practicable, fair and useful.

**Evolution of evaluation in distance learning.** Evaluation methods in distance learning have evolved alongside advancements in technology. Initially, evaluation primarily relied on traditional methods such as written exams and essays. However, with the emergence of online learning platforms and multimedia tools, evaluation methods have become more diverse and interactive, including multimedia presentations, online discussions, simulations, and peer assessments. (Palloff & Pratt, 2017)

**Types of evaluation methods.** Evaluation methods in distance learning can be classified majorly into formative and summative assessments. Formative assessments are ongoing assessments that provide feedback to students during the learning process, while summative assessments evaluate student learning at the end of a course or unit. Additionally, authentic assessment methods aim to assess real-world skills and competencies relevant to students' future careers or contexts (Palloff & Pratt, 2017). Diagnostic type of evaluation helps in finding out the weaknesses of the students and helps them work on them to improve and grow. Finding out the weaker section or areas of improvement helps to improve their performance and score better marks in the summative assessment.

**Levels of evaluation.** It is not possible to evaluate the performance according to only one criterion. Trainers or teachers assess students according to multiple criteria and in different

ways, such as different levels of assessment, i.e., Self-referenced, criterion-referenced, and norm-referenced. Palloff & Pratt, (2017) detail them as follows:

### *1. Self-referenced*

Self-referenced level of evaluation means that you compare the previous tests and current ones to understand the growth of the students and how they have improved. This helps to assess students' growth and motivates them to perform better.

### *2. Criterion-referenced*

In the criterion-referenced level of the evaluation process, a criterion is set to analyze the performance of the students. For example, students have objectives set at the beginning of a lesson that they have to complete. Teachers can analyze whether the students are able to complete all the objectives, within the time limit or not.

### *3. Norm-referenced*

This form of evaluation is basically a comparison between the performance of one student and others in the class. Comparing students' performance with other students will help the trainer/teacher identify where the students are lacking and alter their learning plans accordingly. This helps to find the best strategies to help students improve.

## **Importance of Evaluation in Distance Learning**

Evaluation plays a crucial role in distance learning by assessing students' understanding of course materials, measuring their progress, and determining whether learning objectives are being met. Effective evaluation methods help educators identify areas where students may need additional support, provide feedback for improvement, and ensure the quality and rigor of online education.

This paper aims to explore the effects of evaluation on distance learning, focusing on its influence on learning outcomes and student engagement.

## **Literature Review**

### **Theoretical Framework**

Behaviorism and evaluation. Behaviorism is a psychological theory that emphasizes observable behaviors as the focus of study and suggests that learning occurs through conditioning and reinforcement. In the context of evaluation in distance learning, behaviorism influences assessment practices by emphasizing the measurement of observable behaviors and outcomes. Assessment methods rooted in behaviorism focus on assessing students' mastery of predetermined learning objectives and observable behaviors, often through quantitative measures such as multiple-choice tests, quizzes, and objective assessments. Behaviorist approaches to evaluation prioritize the use of clear criteria and standardized assessments to measure learning outcomes objectively. However, critics argue that behaviorist evaluation methods may oversimplify learning by focusing solely on surface-level behaviors and neglecting higher-order thinking skills and deeper understanding (Ertmer & Newby, 2013).

The authors go further and explain that in a distance learning course based on behaviorist principles, students may be assessed using multiple-choice quizzes and exams that measure their ability to recall factual information and perform rote tasks. Feedback on assessments may be limited to correct or incorrect answers, with an emphasis on reinforcing desired behaviors through positive reinforcement or corrective feedback.

**Constructivism and evaluation.** Constructivism is a learning theory that posits learners construct their understanding of the world through active engagement with new information and experiences, and emphasizes the role of social interaction and reflection in learning. Ertmer & Newby, (2013) further explain that the context of evaluation in distance learning, constructivism influences assessment practices by emphasizing the importance of authentic, contextualized assessments that reflect real-world tasks and challenges. Assessment methods rooted in constructivism focus on assessing students' ability to apply knowledge in meaningful contexts, solve authentic problems, and demonstrate higher-order thinking skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving. Constructivist approaches to evaluation prioritize the use of performance-based assessments, project-based learning, portfolios, and peer assessments to capture the complexity of student learning and development. However, critics argue that constructivist evaluation methods may lack standardization and objectivity, making it challenging to assess and compare student performance consistently.

In a distance learning course based on constructivist principles, students may be assessed using authentic tasks and projects that require them to apply course concepts to real-world scenarios. Assessment criteria may focus on the quality of students' problem-solving processes, creativity, and ability to communicate and collaborate effectively with peers. Feedback on assessments may emphasize constructive feedback aimed at promoting reflection, metacognition, and continuous improvement.

**Connectivism and evaluation.** Siemens (2005) states that connectivism is a learning theory that emphasizes the importance of networks, connections, and digital technologies in facilitating learning and knowledge acquisition. In the context of evaluation in distance learning, connectivism influences assessment practices by highlighting the role of social networks, online communities, and digital resources in supporting learning and assessment.

Further, Jonassen & Land (2012), highlight the assessment methods rooted in connectivism focus on assessing students' ability to navigate and leverage digital tools and online resources to access, evaluate, and apply information effectively. Connectivist approaches to evaluation prioritize the use of networked assessments, collaborative evaluation practices, and digital badges or credentials to recognize and validate informal learning experiences. However, critics argue that connectivist evaluation methods may prioritize digital literacy and online participation over academic rigor and content mastery.

In a distance learning course based on connectivism principles, students may be assessed using social media platforms, online forums, and collaborative tools to engage with peers, share resources, and co-create knowledge. Assessment criteria may focus on students' contributions to online discussions, engagement with digital resources, and ability to critically evaluate and synthesize information from diverse sources. Feedback on assessments may emphasize peer feedback, self-reflection, and ongoing dialogue within online learning communities.

### **Impact of Evaluation on Learning Outcomes**

Assessment for learning vs. assessment of learning. According to Black & Wiliam (2018) asserts that evaluation in distance learning can have a significant impact on learning outcomes depending on whether it is designed for assessment of learning or assessment for learning. Assessment of learning, also known as summative assessment, focuses on measuring students' achievement and mastery of course content at the end of a learning period.

While assessment of learning provides valuable information about students' overall performance, it may not always support ongoing learning and improvement. On the other hand, assessment for learning, also known as formative assessment, is designed to provide continuous

feedback to students during the learning process, enabling them to monitor their progress, identify areas for improvement, and make necessary adjustments. Research suggests that assessment for learning enhances student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes by promoting active learning, self-regulation, and metacognitive skills development (Black & Wiliam, 2018).

### **Formative Evaluation: Continuous Feedback and Improvement**

Formative evaluation plays a critical role in distance learning by providing students with continuous feedback on their performance and progress. Wiliam, (2017) further adds that formative assessment methods such as quizzes, discussions, peer assessments, and self-assessments allow instructors to gauge students' understanding of course material, identify misconceptions or gaps in knowledge, and provide timely feedback to support learning and improvement. By receiving regular feedback, students can adjust their learning strategies, seek clarification on challenging concepts, and actively engage in the learning process. Research indicates that formative evaluation enhances learning outcomes by fostering a supportive learning environment, promoting metacognitive awareness, and empowering students to take ownership of their learning (Wiggins & McTighe, 2019).

### **Summative Evaluation: Gauging Overall Achievement**

Smith (2020) states that summative evaluation in distance learning is typically used to assess students' overall achievement and mastery of course objectives at the end of a learning period. Summative assessments may include final exams, projects, papers, or portfolios that measure students' cumulative learning outcomes. While summative evaluation provides valuable information about students' performance and achievement, it may not always capture the full range of students' abilities or provide actionable feedback for improvement.

To enhance the effectiveness of summative evaluation, educators can incorporate elements of authentic assessment, such as real-world tasks or scenarios, to assess students' application of knowledge and skills in authentic contexts. Additionally, providing constructive feedback on summative assessments can help students understand their strengths and areas for growth, facilitating continuous learning and improvement (Smith, 2020).

### **Authentic Assessment: Aligning Evaluation with Real-world Skills**

According to Jonassen and Land, (2012), authentic assessment involves designing evaluation tasks that mirror real-world challenges or scenarios, allowing students to demonstrate their knowledge, skills, and abilities in authentic contexts. In distance learning, authentic assessment methods such as case studies, simulations, projects, and performance-based assessments promote deeper learning, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills development. By aligning evaluation with real-world skills and competencies, educators can enhance the relevance and authenticity of assessments, motivate students to engage in meaningful learning activities, and prepare them for success in future academic and professional endeavors. Research suggests that authentic assessment improves learning outcomes by promoting higher-order thinking skills, fostering student engagement, and enhancing transferability of knowledge to real-world contexts (Jonassen & Land, 2012).

**Student Engagement and Motivation in Distance Learning.** The role of evaluation in motivating students. Ironsi (2020) notes evaluation plays a crucial role in motivating students in distance learning environments. When students perceive assessments as meaningful and relevant, they are more likely to engage actively in learning activities and strive for academic success. Well-designed assessments that align with learning objectives and provide

opportunities for students to demonstrate their understanding can enhance motivation by fostering a sense of competence and achievement (Meeter, et al., 2020).

Additionally, incorporating elements of choice and autonomy into evaluation processes, such as allowing students to select topics for projects or choose assessment formats that suit their learning preferences, can increase intrinsic motivation and engagement. Furthermore, providing constructive feedback on assessments that acknowledges students' efforts, highlights their strengths, and offers guidance for improvement can boost motivation and promote a growth mindset among learners (Irons, 2021; & Timperley, 2007).

### **Feedback and its Impact on Student Engagement**

Shalevska, (2021) posit that feedback is a crucial component of evaluation in distance learning, with a significant impact on student engagement and motivation. Timely and constructive feedback provides students with valuable information about their performance, clarifies expectations, and guides their learning process. Effective feedback acknowledges students' achievements, identifies areas for improvement, and offers specific suggestions for enhancement (Shalevska, (2021).

Moreover, feedback that is personalized, actionable, and delivered in a supportive manner can enhance students' confidence, self-efficacy, and intrinsic motivation to learn. In distance learning environments, technology-mediated feedback tools such as online grading rubrics, audio or video feedback, and interactive feedback platforms can facilitate timely communication between instructors and students, promote student engagement, and foster a sense of connection and belonging in virtual learning communities (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006; Wilson, 2012).

### **Self-Assessment and Peer Evaluation**

Self-assessment and peer evaluation are valuable strategies for promoting student engagement and motivation in distance learning. Self-assessment encourages students to reflect on their learning progress, evaluate their strengths and weaknesses, and set goals for improvement. By engaging in self-assessment activities, students develop metacognitive skills, self-regulation, and a deeper understanding of their learning processes (Topping, 2009).

Topping (2009) argues that peer evaluation involves students providing feedback and evaluating each other's work, which not only promotes collaborative learning but also enhances critical thinking, communication, and interpersonal skills. Additionally, Nicol, and Macfarlane-Dick, (2006) add that peer evaluation fosters a sense of responsibility and accountability among students, as they are actively involved in assessing their peers' performance and contributing to each other's learning success. Incorporating self-assessment and peer evaluation into distance learning courses empowers students to take ownership of their learning, promotes active engagement in the learning process, and cultivates a supportive learning community where students learn from and with each other (Nicol, & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).

### **Challenges and Issues in Evaluation in Distance Learning**

**Ensuring academic integrity in assessments.** Sabrina et al., (2022). posit that maintaining academic integrity is another significant concern in evaluation in distance learning. The remote nature of online assessments makes it easier for students to engage in academic dishonesty, such as plagiarism, cheating on exams, or collaborating unauthorizedly with peers. Tupas, et al., (2023) note that ensuring academic integrity requires implementing proactive measures such as designing assessments that are difficult to cheat on, using plagiarism detection

software, fostering a culture of academic honesty through education and awareness, and establishing clear guidelines and consequences for academic misconduct. Additionally, promoting a sense of academic integrity and ethical behavior among students through discussions on academic honesty and integrity can help mitigate the risk of cheating in distance Learning assessments (Lancaster & Cotarlan, 2018).

**Reliability and validity of assessment.** One of the primary challenges in evaluation in distance learning is ensuring the reliability and validity of assessments. Sullivan, (2023) argues that reliability refers to the consistency and stability of assessment results over time and across different contexts, while validity pertains to the degree to which an assessment measures what it intends to measure. In distance learning, ensuring the reliability and validity of assessments can be challenging due to factors such as variations in technological access and proficiency among students, differences in learning environments, and the potential for cheating or academic dishonesty. Educators must employ rigorous assessment design principles, utilize reliable assessment tools and platforms, and implement strategies to authenticate students' identities and monitor their performance to mitigate these challenges (Bocchi, et al., 2014; Sullivan, 2023).

**Overcoming technological limitations.** Roberts (2019) asserts that technological limitations present another significant challenge in evaluation in distance learning. Factors such as unreliable internet connections, compatibility issues with different devices and operating systems, and software glitches can disrupt the assessment process and affect the fairness and validity of assessments. Tiony et al., (2017) argue that educators and instructional designers must anticipate and address these technological challenges by selecting user-friendly assessment tools and platforms, providing technical support and troubleshooting resources for students, and offering alternative assessment options for students facing technological barriers. Moreover, investing in infrastructure upgrades, improving internet connectivity, and conducting regular technology assessments can help minimize the impact of technological limitations on evaluation in distance learning.

### **Strategies to Enhance Evaluation in Distance Learning**

**Utilizing adaptive learning technologies.** Ally (2008) argues that adaptive learning technologies utilize algorithms and data analytics to personalize the learning experience for individual students based on their unique learning needs, preferences, and performance. In distance learning environments, adaptive learning platforms can enhance evaluation by providing tailored assessments that adapt to students' knowledge levels, learning styles, and pace of learning.

Ally (2008) further to argue that these platforms can offer personalized feedback, adaptive quizzes, and interactive simulations to engage students in active learning and promote mastery of course content. By leveraging adaptive learning technologies, educators can create more effective and efficient evaluation processes that support student success and improve learning outcomes in diverse online learning environments.

**Implementing multimodal assessment techniques.** Gikandi et al., (2011) highlight that multimodal assessment techniques involve utilizing a variety of assessment formats, tools, and resources to evaluate students' knowledge, skills, and competencies in distance learning. Instead of relying solely on traditional assessments such as exams or essays, educators can incorporate multimedia elements such as videos, podcasts, digital portfolios, and interactive simulations into evaluation practices.

Multimodal assessments offer students opportunities to demonstrate their understanding in diverse ways, accommodate different learning preferences and abilities, and foster creativity and innovation. Moreover, multimodal assessment techniques can enhance student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes by providing interactive and authentic learning experiences that reflect real-world contexts and challenges (Gikandi, et al., 2011).

**Fostering collaborative evaluation practices.** Collaborative evaluation practices involve involving students in the evaluation process through peer assessment, group projects, and collaborative feedback activities. In distance learning environments, collaborative evaluation practices can promote active engagement, critical thinking, and communication skills development among students. By participating in peer assessment activities, students gain valuable insights into their own learning progress, receive diverse perspectives and feedback from their peers, and develop a deeper understanding of course concepts through peer interaction and discussion (Picciano, 2017).

Additionally, collaborative evaluation practices foster a sense of shared responsibility and accountability among students, as they collaborate with their peers to evaluate each other's work and contribute to the collective learning success of the group.

**Promoting self-regulated learning through assessment.** Self-regulated learning involves students taking control of their learning process by setting goals, monitoring their progress, and employing strategies to achieve academic success. Assessment plays a key role in promoting self-regulated learning by providing students with opportunities to reflect on their learning goals, assess their strengths and weaknesses, and adjust their study strategies accordingly (Gikandi, et al., 2011; Picciano, 2017).

According to Gikandi et al., (2011) in distance learning environments, educators can design assessments that encourage self-assessment, self-reflection, and goal setting, such as learning journals, reflective essays, and self-assessment quizzes. By promoting self-regulated learning through assessment, educators empower students to become independent and lifelong learners, enhance their metacognitive skills, and improve their academic performance and learning outcomes in online learning environments.

## **Future Direct merging Trends**

**Leveraging AI and learning analytics for personalized assessment.** According to Vashishth et al. (2024) future developments in assessment in distance learning are likely to be heavily influenced by the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and learning analytics. AI technologies can analyze vast amounts of data collected from student interactions with online learning platforms, such as quiz results, discussion participation, and learning activities, to provide personalized assessments tailored to individual learning needs (Kabudi, et al., 2021).

AI-powered adaptive learning systems can dynamically adjust the difficulty and content of assessments based on students' performance and preferences, providing targeted feedback and support to optimize learning outcomes. Learning analytics tools can track students' progress and engagement in real-time, allowing educators to identify at-risk students, intervene early, and provide timely support and interventions. By leveraging AI and learning analytics for personalized assessment, educators can create more adaptive, responsive, and effective learning experiences in distance learning environments (Kabudi, et al., 2021; Vashishth et al., 2024).



**Exploring virtual reality and gamification in evaluation.** Virtual reality (VR) and gamification offer exciting opportunities to transform assessment practices in distance learning by creating immersive and interactive assessment experiences. VR technology allows students to engage with simulated environments and scenarios, enabling realistic and experiential assessments of practical skills and competencies. For example, medical students can practice surgical procedures in virtual operating rooms, while engineering students can simulate complex design challenges in virtual laboratories. Dede, et al., (2019) indicate that gamification techniques, such as points, badges, leaderboards, and storytelling elements, can make assessment activities more engaging, motivating, and enjoyable for students. By integrating VR and gamification into evaluation, educators can create authentic, immersive, and game-like assessment experiences that promote active learning, problem-solving, and critical thinking skills development in distance learning contexts (Deterding, et al., 2011).

**Addressing equity and inclusivity in assessment practices.** An emerging trend in assessment in distance learning is the focus on addressing equity and inclusivity to ensure fair and accessible evaluation practices for all students. Inequities in access to technology, internet connectivity, and resources can create barriers to participation and success in online assessments for marginalized and underserved student populations. Educators must consider the diverse needs and circumstances of students when designing assessment tasks and accommodations. This includes providing alternative assessment options for students with disabilities, offering flexibility in assessment deadlines and formats, and ensuring that assessments are culturally relevant and inclusive (Leonard, & Sentance, 2021).

Additionally, addressing unconscious biases in assessment design and scoring can help mitigate disparities in evaluation outcomes (Nyerere, 2016). By prioritizing equity and inclusivity in assessment practices, educators can create more equitable, accessible, and supportive learning environments that empower all students to succeed in distance learning.

These future directions and emerging trends in assessment in distance learning hold the potential to revolutionize evaluation practices, enhance student engagement and learning outcomes, and promote equity and inclusivity in online education.

## **Conclusion**

Evaluation in distance learning is a multifaceted process that plays a crucial role in assessing student learning outcomes, promoting engagement, and ensuring the quality and effectiveness of online education. Throughout this paper, we have explored various aspects of evaluation in distance learning, including its definition, evolution, types of methods, theoretical frameworks, case studies, best practices, and future directions. Key findings include the importance of aligning assessments with learning objectives, providing timely and constructive feedback, leveraging technology and pedagogical approaches to enhance assessment practices, and addressing equity and inclusivity in assessment design. Additionally, we discussed the role of different learning theories, such as behaviorism, constructivism, and connectivism, in shaping evaluation practices in distance learning environments.

## **Recommendations**

The findings from this paper have several implications for practice in distance learning. Educators and instructional designers can use the insights gained to design and implement effective evaluation strategies that promote student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes. By incorporating diverse assessment methods, providing timely feedback, fostering

collaborative evaluation practices, and leveraging technology-enabled assessment tools, educators can create dynamic and interactive assessment experiences that support student success in online education. Additionally, addressing equity and inclusivity considerations in assessment design can help ensure that assessment practices are fair, accessible, and supportive for all students, regardless of their background or circumstances.

## References

- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2017). *Digital learning compass: Distance education enrollment report 2017*. Babson Survey Research Group.
- Ally, M. (2008). *Foundations of Educational Theory for Online Learning*. In T. Anderson (Ed.), *The Theory and Practice of Online Learning* (2nd ed., pp. 15-44). Edmonton, AB: AU Press.
- Anderson, T. (2018). Evaluation strategies for online learning environments. *Educational Technology*, 23(4), 56-72. doi: 10.15215/aupress/9781771992329.01
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74. DOI: 10.1080/0969595980050102 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>
- Bocchi, J., Eastman, J., & Swift, C. (2014). Retaining the online learner: Profile of students in an online MBA Program and implications for teaching them. *Journal of Education for Business*, 79(4), 245-253. DOI:10.3200/JOEB.79.4.245-253
- Dede, C. J., Jacobson, J., & Richards, J. (2017). Introduction: Virtual, augmented, and mixed realities in education. In *Virtual, Augmented, and Mixed Realities in Education* (pp. 1–16). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5490-7\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5490-7_1)
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "Gamification". In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments* (pp. 9- 15). New York, NY: ACM Press. DOI:10.1145/2181037.2181040
- Ertmer, P., & Newby, T. (2013). Behaviorism, Cognitivism, Constructivism: Comparing critical features from an instructional design perspective. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 26(2), 43-71 .<https://doi.org/10.1002/piq.21143>
- Gikandi, J., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. *Computers & Education*, 57(4), 2333-2351.
- Ironsi, C. S. (2020). Strategies for student engagement in remote online learning. A case study of Northern Cyprus. *Runas Journal of Education and Culture* 1(2):18-31 DOI:10.46652/runas.v1i2.19
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.
- Jonassen, D., & Land, S. (2012). *Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environments*. New York: Routledge.<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203813799>
- Kabudi, T., Pappas, I., & Olsen, D. H. (2021). AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systematic mapping of the literature. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100017>

- Lancaster, T., & Cotarlan, C. (2018). Contract cheating by STEM students through a file-sharing website: A Covid-19 pandemic perspective. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, 14(1), 1-9.
- Leonard, C., & Sentance, S. (2021). Culturally-relevant and responsive pedagogy in computing: A Quick Scoping Review. *International Journal of Computer Science Education in Schools* 5(2):3-13. DOI:10.21585/ijcses.v5i2.130
- Mabeya, M. T. (2020). Distance Learning During COVID-19 Crisis: Primary and secondary school parents experiences in Kenya. *East African Journal of Education Studies* 2(1):173-186 DOI:10.37284/eajes.2.1.249
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2009). Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies. US Department of Education. <https://www2.ed.gov/rschstat/eval/tech/evidence-based-practices/finalreport.pdf>
- Meeter, M., Bele, T., Hartogh, C., Bakker, T., de Vries, R., & Plak, S. (2020). College students' motivation and study results after COVID-19 stay-at-home orders. DOI:10.31234/osf.io/kn6v9
- Nicol, D., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199-218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- Nyerere, J. K. A. (2016). Open and distance learning in Kenya: A baseline survey commissioned by the Commonwealth of Learning. *COL Report*. <https://oasis.col.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/e8f3e16d-dd4c-4c41-8f52-60d2be9a0902/content>
- Palloff, R., & Pratt, K. (2017). *Assessing the Online Learner: Resources and Strategies for Faculty*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Patton, M. Q. (1987). *Qualitative Research Evaluation Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publishers.
- Picciano, A. (2017). Theories and Frameworks for Online Education: Seeking an Integrated Model. *Online Learning*, 21(3), 166-190. doi: 10.24059/olj.v21i3.1225
- Roberts, T. (2019). Addressing Technological Challenges in Online Learning: Strategies for Implementation. *Journal of Online Learning*, 18(3), 112-126.
- Shalevska, E. (2021). Students' Motivation and Engagement during Distance Learning. <https://eprints.uklo.edu.mk/id/eprint/9504>
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3-10.
- Simonson, M., Smaldino, S., Albright, M., & Zvacek, S. (2019). *Teaching and learning at a distance: Foundations of distance education*. Routledge.
- Smith, J. (2020). The Importance of evaluation in online education. *Journal of Online Learning*, 15(2), 45-58.
- Sullivan, G. M., (2023). A Primer on the validity of assessment instruments. *Journal of Medical Education*. DOI: 10.4300/JGME-D-11-00075.1

- Tiony, A. K., Ferej, A., & Kitainge, K. (2016). Factors influencing the adoption of flexible teaching approaches in technical training institutions in Kenya. *Africa Journal of Technical and Vocational Education and Training*, 2(1), 122-131. <https://afritvet.org/index.php/Afritvet/article/view/43>
- Topping, K. (2009). Peer Assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 48(1), 20-27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405840802577569>
- Tupas, M. O., Paqueo, P. I. V., Abay, J. R., (2023). College illuminating integrity: Exploring college teachers' approaches to address academic dishonesty in online learning of education. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2023.7740>
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2017). *Understanding by Design*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. *Colombian Applied Linguistics Journal*, vol. 19, núm. 1, e
- Wiliam, D. (2017). Learning and assessment: a long and winding road? *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 24(3), 309–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2017.1338520>
- Wilson, A. (2012). Student engagement and the role of feedback in learning. *The Journal of Pedagogic Development*, Vol 2 Issue 2 Spring 2012 <https://uobrep.openrepository.com/bitstream/handle/10547/336262/Student-engagement.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
- Kasse, J. P. & Balunywa, W. (2013). An assessment of e-learning utilization by a section of Ugandan universities: Challenges, success factors and way forward. *In: Paper presented at the International Conference on ICT for Africa 2013*, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- Mbunge, E., Fashoto, S., Akinnuwesi, B., Gurajena, C., & Metfula, A. (2020). Challenges of Social distancing and self-isolation during COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: *A Critical Review*. Available at SSRN 3740202.
- Nagunwa, T. & Lwoga, E. (2012). Developing e-learning technologies to implement competency based medical education: Experiences from Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 8(3), 7-21.
- Namisiko, P., Munialo, C. & Nyongesa, S. (2014). Towards an optimization framework for e-learning in developing countries: A case of private universities in Kenya. *Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, 2(2), 131-148.
- Park, S. Y. (2009). An Analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model in Understanding University Students' Behavioural Intention to Use E-learning, *Educational Technology & Society*, 12 (3), 150-162.
- Pirani, J. (2008). Supporting E-learning in higher education. Accessed 7th December 2014 from <http://www.net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/ERS0303/ecm0303.pdf>.
- Quadri, G. O. (2012). Impact of ICT skills on the Use of e-resources by information professionals: A review of related literature, *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*, ISSN 1522-0222.

- Russell, C. (2009). A systemic framework for managing e-learning adoption in campus universities: individual strategies in context, *ALT-J, Research in Learning Technology* 17 (1), 3–19.
- Shroff, R. H., Deneen, C. C. & Eugenia, M. W. (2011). Analysis of the technology acceptance model in examining students' behavioural intention to use an e-portfolio system, *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 27(4), 600-618.
- Tamrat, W. & Teferra, D. (2020). COVID-19 poses a serious threat to higher Education. University world news [https://www.universityworldnews.com/page.php?page=UW\\_Main](https://www.universityworldnews.com/page.php?page=UW_Main)
- Tarus, J., Gichoya, D., & Muumbo, A. (2015). Challenges of implementing e-learning in Kenya: A of Kenyan public universities. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(1)
- TVETA. (2020). Technical and Vocational Education and Training Authority Newsletters. <https://www.tveta.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/TVETA-NEWSLETTER-APRIL-2020-min.pdf>. Accessed on 9<sup>th</sup> Oct, 2021.
- UNESCO. (2020a). COVID-19 Webinar: A new world for teachers, Education's frontline workers. <https://en.unesco.org/news/covid-19-webinar-new-worldteachers-education-frontline-workers>.
- UNESCO. (2020b). COVID-19 Educational Disruption and Response. <https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse/225.5>